## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	T				
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/666,979	09/17/2003	Allen R. Friedman	36287-04402	8620	
27171 MII RANK T	7590 11/28/2007 WEED HADLEV & MO		EXAMINER		
MILBANK, TWEED, HADLEY & MCCLOY  1 CHASE MANHATTAN PLAZA  POE, KEVIN TO SERVIN TO SERV			EVIN T		
NEW YORK,	NY 10005-1413		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3693		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/28/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence	10/666,979	FRIEDMAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kevin Poe	3693				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence addres	SS			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may ad will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mute, cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed  ONTHS from the mailing date of this commu ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17	September 2003.		,			
<u> </u>	nis action is non-final.	•				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal ma	atters, prosecution as to the me	erits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the application	on.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are rejected.	⊠ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	/or election requirement.					
Application Papers	·					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawi	ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1	.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-	152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigna) All b) Some * c) None of:		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
2. Certified copies of the priority docume						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	· ·	en received in this National Sta	ge			
application from the International Bure  * See the attached detailed Office action for a li		nt received				
See the attached detailed Office action for a n	st of the certified copies in	ot received.				
			·			
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	v Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper N	o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/14/2003.	6)  Other: _	,				

10/666,979 Art Unit: 3693

## **DETAILED ACTION**

This office action is in response to applicant's communication of September 17,
 Original claims 1-25 are pending and have been examined. The rejections are stated below.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 5-7, 9-11, 15-16, 18, and 20-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being anticipated by Rudkin [US Pub No. 2004/0199449 A1] in view of Bell [US Pub No. 2006/0155621 A1] and further in view of Calvin [US Pub No. 2002/0198804 A1].
- .4. Regarding **claim 1**, Rudkin discloses a method for transfer of employee stock options (abstract), the method comprising: providing a plurality of option value prices, and determining a stock trading price corresponding to a particular one of the plurality of option value prices. (0052)

10/666,979 Art Unit: 3693

Rudkin discloses receiving an employee stock option corresponding to the particular one of the plurality of option value prices and providing a value corresponding to the particular one of the plurality of option value prices in exchange for receiving the employee stock option. (0045)

Rudkin does not explicitly disclose amending the employee stock option.

However Bell discloses due to the significant value of the stock options or LTIP after being exercised and the fact that the ownership of the Employer will change after the stock issuance, the Employer may desire to modify the terms of the Plan ("Modified Plan") to enable the Employer to take certain actions with regard to the outstanding, but unexercised, stock options, as well as other benefits. (Bell 0033)

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the disclosure of Rudkin to include the teachings of Bell to obtain invention as specified in claim 1. The rationale to combine the teachings would be for implementing a deferred compensation program.

Rudkin does not explicitly disclose transferring the amended stock option to a third party; and receiving a value corresponding to the amended stock option in exchange for transferring the amended stock option. However Calvin discloses it is also well known that a business or company may establish a nonqualified stock option plan that awards certain investment advisors, service providers and other key personnel (hereinafter "Service Providers") compensatory options in lieu of current compensation for the purpose of retaining such Service Providers through vesting and other restrictions on the subsequent transfer of awarded options. The Service Providers are

10/666,979 Art Unit: 3693

incentivized to accept compensatory options in lieu of cash or similar property by the possibility that the awarded options will appreciate in value (sometimes significantly)

beyond the current value of compensation that the Service Providers forego. (Calvin

(8000

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the disclosure of Rudkin to include the teachings of Calvin to obtain invention as specified in claim 1. The rationale to combine the teachings would be for implementing a deferred compensation program.

- 5. Regarding **claim 2**, Rudkin discloses providing a plurality of option value prices uses an option pricing formula. (0056)
- 6. Regarding **claim 3**, Rudkin discloses wherein the option pricing formula is selected from the group consisting of Black-Scholes, binomial and trinomial methods. (0056, 0070, and 0137-0140)
- 7. Regarding **claim 5**, Rudkin discloses determining a stock trading price further comprises determining an average stock trading price over a predetermined period of time. (0110)

10/666,979 Art Unit: 3693

- 8. Regarding **claim 6**, Rudkin discloses providing a value further comprises: providing a first value to an employee; and providing a second value to the employee, wherein the first and second values are provided at different times. (0012)
- 9. Regarding **claim 7**, Rudkin discloses determining an investment value corresponding to the second value; and providing the investment value to the employee with the second value. (0052)
- 10. Regarding **claim 9**, Rudkin discloses registering an offering of securities underlying the employee option. (0007)
- 11. Regarding **claim 10**, Rudkin discloses issuing the employee stock option. (0007)
- 12. Regarding **claim 11**, Rudkin discloses hedging the amended stock option. (0012 and 0135)
- 13. Regarding **claim 15**, Rudkin discloses an issuer of the employee stock option receives the employee stock option and provides the value. (abstract and 0012)
- 14. Regarding **claim 16**, Rudkin does not explicitly disclose an issuer of the employee stock option amends the employee stock option. However Bell discloses due to the significant value of the stock options or LTIP after being exercised and the fact

10/666,979

Art Unit: 3693

that the ownership of the Employer will change after the stock issuance, the Employer may desire to modify the terms of the Plan ("Modified Plan") to enable the Employer to take certain actions with regard to the outstanding, but unexercised, stock options, as well as other benefits. (Bell 0033)

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the disclosure of Rudkin to include the teachings of Bell to obtain invention as specified in claim 16. The rationale to combine the teachings would be for implementing a deferred compensation program.

Rudkin does not an issuer explicitly disclose transferring the amended stock option and receiving the value. However Calvin discloses it is also well known that a business or company may establish a nonqualified stock option plan that awards certain investment advisors, service providers and other key personnel (hereinafter "Service Providers") compensatory options in lieu of current compensation for the purpose of retaining such Service Providers through vesting and other restrictions on the subsequent transfer of awarded options. The Service Providers are incentivized to accept compensatory options in lieu of cash or similar property by the possibility that the awarded options will appreciate in value (sometimes significantly) beyond the current value of compensation that the Service Providers forego. (Calvin 0008)

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the disclosure of Rudkin to include the teachings of Calvin to obtain invention as specified in claim 16. The rationale to combine the teachings would be for implementing a deferred compensation program.

10/666,979

Art Unit: 3693

15. Regarding claim 18, Rudkin discloses a system for transfer of employee stock options (abstract), the system comprising: means for providing a plurality of option value prices (0052); means for determining a stock trading price corresponding to a particular one of the plurality of option value prices; means for receiving an employee stock option corresponding to the particular one of the plurality of option value prices; means for providing a value corresponding to the particular one of the plurality of option value prices in exchange for receiving the employee stock option. (0045)

Rudkin does not explicitly disclose means for amending the employee stock option. However Bell discloses due to the significant value of the stock options or LTIP after being exercised and the fact that the ownership of the Employer will change after the stock issuance, the Employer may desire to modify the terms of the Plan ("Modified Plan") to enable the Employer to take certain actions with regard to the outstanding, but unexercised, stock options, as well as other benefits. (Bell 0033)

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the disclosure of Rudkin to include the teachings of Bell to obtain invention as specified in claim 18. The rationale to combine the teachings would be for implementing a deferred compensation program.

Rudkin does not explicitly disclose means for transferring the amended stock option to a third party; and receiving a value corresponding to the amended stock option in exchange for transferring the amended stock option. However Calvin discloses it is also well known that a business or company may establish a nonqualified stock option

10/666,979 Art Unit: 3693

plan that awards certain investment advisors, service providers and other key personnel (hereinafter "Service Providers") compensatory options in lieu of current compensation for the purpose of retaining such Service Providers through vesting and other restrictions on the subsequent transfer of awarded options. The Service Providers are incentivized to accept compensatory options in lieu of cash or similar property by the possibility that the awarded options will appreciate in value (sometimes significantly) beyond the current value of compensation that the Service Providers forego. (Calvin 0008)

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the disclosure of Rudkin to include the teachings of Calvin to obtain invention as specified in claim 18. The rationale to combine the teachings would be for implementing a deferred compensation program.

16. Regarding **claim 20**, Rudkin discloses Computer executable software code transmitted as an information signal (0158), the code for transfer of employee stock options, the code comprising: code for providing a plurality of option value prices (0052); means for determining a stock trading price corresponding to a particular one of the plurality of option value prices; code for receiving an employee stock option corresponding to the particular one of the plurality of option value prices; code for providing a value corresponding to the particular one of the plurality of option value prices in exchange for receiving the employee stock option. (0045)

10/666,979

Art Unit: 3693

Rudkin does not explicitly disclose code for amending the employee stock option. However Bell discloses due to the significant value of the stock options or LTIP after being exercised and the fact that the ownership of the Employer will change after the stock issuance, the Employer may desire to modify the terms of the Plan ("Modified Plan") to enable the Employer to take certain actions with regard to the outstanding, but unexercised, stock options, as well as other benefits. (Bell 0033)

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the disclosure of Rudkin to include the teachings of Bell to obtain invention as specified in claim 20. The rationale to combine the teachings would be for implementing a deferred compensation program.

Rudkin does not explicitly disclose code for transferring the amended stock option to a third party; and receiving a value corresponding to the amended stock option in exchange for transferring the amended stock option. However Calvin discloses it is also well known that a business or company may establish a nonqualified stock option plan that awards certain investment advisors, service providers and other key personnel (hereinafter "Service Providers") compensatory options in lieu of current compensation for the purpose of retaining such Service Providers through vesting and other restrictions on the subsequent transfer of awarded options. The Service Providers are incentivized to accept compensatory options in lieu of cash or similar property by the possibility that the awarded options will appreciate in value (sometimes significantly) beyond the current value of compensation that the Service Providers forego. (Calvin 0008)

10/666,979

Art Unit: 3693

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the disclosure of Rudkin to include the teachings of Calvin to obtain invention as specified in claim 20. The rationale to combine the teachings would be for implementing a deferred compensation program.

- 17. Regarding **claim 21**, it recites similar language as to claim 20 and is rejected on the same grounds.
- 18. Regarding **claim 22**, Rudkin discloses a programmed computer for transfer of employee stock options, comprising: a memory having at least one region for storing computer executable program code; and a processor for executing the program code stored in the memory (0158), wherein the program code comprises: code to provide a plurality of option value prices (0052); code to determine a stock trading price corresponding to a particular one of the plurality of option value prices (0052); code to receive an employee stock option corresponding to the particular one of the plurality of option value prices (0045); code to provide a value corresponding to the particular one of the plurality of option value prices in exchange for receiving the employee stock option. (0045)

Rudkin does not explicitly disclose amending the employee stock option.

However Bell discloses due to the significant value of the stock options or LTIP after being exercised and the fact that the ownership of the Employer will change after the stock issuance, the Employer may desire to modify the terms of the Plan ("Modified

10/666,979

Art Unit: 3693

Plan") to enable the Employer to take certain actions with regard to the outstanding, but unexercised, stock options, as well as other benefits. (Bell 0033)

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the disclosure of Rudkin to include the teachings of Bell to obtain invention as specified in claim 22. The rationale to combine the teachings would be for implementing a deferred compensation program.

Rudkin does not explicitly disclose transferring the amended stock option to a third party; and receiving a value corresponding to the amended stock option in exchange for transferring the amended stock option. However Calvin discloses it is also well known that a business or company may establish a nongualified stock option plan that awards certain investment advisors, service providers and other key personnel (hereinafter "Service Providers") compensatory options in lieu of current compensation for the purpose of retaining such Service Providers through vesting and other restrictions on the subsequent transfer of awarded options. The Service Providers are incentivized to accept compensatory options in lieu of cash or similar property by the possibility that the awarded options will appreciate in value (sometimes significantly) beyond the current value of compensation that the Service Providers forego. (Calvin (8000

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the disclosure of Rudkin to include the teachings of Calvin to obtain invention as specified in claim 22. The rationale to combine the teachings would be for implementing a deferred compensation program.

Page 12

Application/Control Number:

10/666,979 Art Unit: 3693

- 19. Regarding **claim 23**, it recites similar language to claims 17 and 19 and is rejected on the same grounds.
- 20. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being anticipated by Rudkin [US Pub No. 2004/0199449 A1] in view of Bell [US Pub No. 2006/0155621 A1] and Calvin [US Pub No. 2002/0198804 A1] and further in view of Cohen et al. [US Pub No. 2002/0116310].
- 21. Regarding **claim 4**, Rudkin does not explicitly disclose providing a plurality of option value prices provides the plurality of prices in an option-price grid. However Cohen et al. discloses the portfolio grid and toolbar 900, 1000, 1100 has rows and columns which contain all the above referenced data, including real-time stock and option prices. (Cohen 0031)

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the disclosure of Rudkin to include the teachings of Cohen et al. to obtain invention as specified in claim 4. The rationale to combine the teachings would be to allow investors to perform an automated comparative analysis of a limitless number of investment opportunities.

10/666,979 Art Unit: 3693

- 22. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being anticipated by Rudkin [US Pub No. 2004/0199449 A1] in view of Bell [US Pub No. 2006/0155621 A1] and Calvin [US Pub No. 2002/0198804 A1] and further in view of Muller [US Pub No. 2002/0010663 A1], Shields [US Pub No. 2002/0042771 A1], Lancaster et al. [US Pub No. 2002/0133456 A1], and Jones et al. [US Pub No. 2005/0004854 A1].
- 23. Regarding **claim 8**, Rudkin does not explicitly disclose amending the employee stock option comprises: amending the maturity. However Muller discloses benchmark bonds are quoted as the underlying instrument of certain bond futures. When they approach maturity, they are replaced by another bond, but the quotes appear in the same, uninterrupted time series. The change of the maturity results in a value jump. (Muller 0451) At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the disclosure of Rudkin to include the teachings of Muller to obtain invention as specified in claim 8. The rationale to combine the teachings would be for filtering high frequency time series data.

Rudkin does not explicitly disclose amending the number of shares per option. However Shields discloses the administration system 6 may be updated with participant information, including adding new participants 10, modifying trading and vesting dates, revising the number of options and/or shares available for exercise and/or sale for each participant 10 in response to, for example, recently executed transactions, employer grant, expiration dates, or the like. (Shields 0068) At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the disclosure Rudkin to

10/666,979

Art Unit: 3693

include the teachings of Shields to obtain invention as specified in claim 8. The rationale to combine the teachings would be for implementing employee stock plans.

Rudkin does not explicitly disclose amending the dilution protection. However Lancaster et al. discloses the idea underlying derivatives-based distribution is encapsulating the economic value of the distribution relationship in a derivative product, to provide dilution protection as control of the distribution chain is lost. (Lancaster 0124) At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the disclosure of Rudkin to include the teachings of Lancaster et al. to obtain invention as specified in claim 8. The rationale to combine the teaching would be to avoid dilution.

Rudkin does not explicitly disclose amending the dividend protection. However Jones et al. discloses referring bow to other issuer options, it is noted that Zero-put securities may have other modifications. For example, in the TUBZ structure, the dividend is typically floored at some minimum level (e.g., 1.0% of par value). This option gives the investor a small measure of protection against deterioration in the company's dividends. (Jones 0235) At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the disclosure of Rudkin to include the teachings of Jones et al. to obtain invention as specified in claim 8. The rationale to combine the teachings protection against loss of dividends.

10/666,979 Art Unit: 3693

- 24. Claims 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being anticipated by Rudkin [US Pub No. 2004/0199449 A1] in view of Bell [US Pub No. 2006/0155621 A1] and Calvin [US Pub No. 2002/0198804 A1] and further in view of Sullivan et al. [2002/0194136 A1].
- 25. Regarding **claim 12**, Rudkin does not explicitly disclose hedging the amended stock option includes short selling of securities and/or futures contacts. However Sullivan et al. discloses financial institutions utilize listed options over the counter (OTC) for hedging strategies. (Sullivan 0009) At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the disclosure of Rudkin to include the teachings of Sullivan to obtain invention as specified in claim 12. The rationale to combine the teachings for hedging strategies in order to assist in organizing the hedging position.
- 26. Regarding **claim 13**, Rudkin does not explicitly disclose hedging the amended stock option includes buying and selling securities that underlie the amended stock option. However Sullivan et al. discloses financial institutions utilize listed options over the counter (OTC) for hedging strategies. (Sullivan 0009) At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the disclosure of Rudkin to include the teachings of Sullivan to obtain invention as specified in claim 13. The rationale to combine the teachings for hedging strategies in order to assist in organizing the hedging position.

10/666,979

Art Unit: 3693

- 27. Regarding claim 14, Rudkin does not explicitly disclose hedging the employee stock option includes buying and selling of securities that underlie the employee stock option to rebalance the hedge position. However Sullivan et al. discloses financial institutions utilize listed options over the counter (OTC) for hedging strategies. (Sullivan 0009) At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the disclosure of Rudkin to include the teachings of Sullivan to obtain invention as specified in claim 14. The rationale to combine the teachings for hedging strategies in order to assist in organizing the hedging position.
- Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being anticipated by Rudkin [US 28. Pub No. 2004/0199449 A1] in view of Cohen et al. [US Pub No. 2002/0116310], Muller [US Pub No. 2002/0010663 A1], Shields [US Pub No. 2002/0042771 A1], Lancaster et al. [US Pub No. 2002/0133456 A1], Jones et al. [US Pub No. 2005/0004854 A1], and further in view of Calvin [US Pub No. 2002/0198804 A1].
- Regarding claim 17, Rudkin discloses determining an average stock trading 29. price over a predetermined period of time, the average stock trading price corresponding to a particular one of the plurality of option value prices. (0110)

Rudkin discloses in exchange for receiving the employee stock option, providing a first value, a second value and an investment value to the employee, the first and

10/666,979 Art Unit: 3693

second values corresponding to the particular one of the plurality of option value prices, the first value provided to the employee at a first time, the second value and the investment value provided to the employee at a second time. (0045)

Rudkin does not explicitly disclose amending the employee stock option to modify: terms of the maturity. However Muller discloses benchmark bonds are quoted as the underlying instrument of certain bond futures. When they approach maturity, they are replaced by another bond, but the quotes appear in the same, uninterrupted time series. The change of the maturity results in a value jump. (Muller 0451) At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the disclosure of Rudkin to include the teachings of Muller to obtain invention as specified in claim 17. The rationale to combine the teachings would be for filtering high frequency time series data.

Rudkin does not explicitly disclose amending the number of shares per option. However Shields discloses the administration system 6 may be updated with participant information, including adding new participants 10, modifying trading and vesting dates, revising the number of options and/or shares available for exercise and/or sale for each participant 10 in response to, for example, recently executed transactions, employer grant, expiration dates, or the like. (Shields 0068) At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the disclosure Rudkin to include the teachings of Shields to obtain invention as specified in claim 17. The rationale to combine the teachings would be for implementing employee stock plans.

10/666,979 Art Unit: 3693

Rudkin does not explicitly disclose amending the dilution protection. However Lancaster et al. discloses the idea underlying derivatives-based distribution is encapsulating the economic value of the distribution relationship in a derivative product, to provide dilution protection as control of the distribution chain is lost. (Lancaster 0124) At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the disclosure of Rudkin to include the teachings of Lancaster et al. to obtain invention as specified in claim 17. The rationale to combine the teaching would be to avoid dilution.

Rudkin does not explicitly disclose amending the dividend protection. However Jones et al. discloses referring bow to other issuer options, it is noted that Zero-put securities may have other modifications. For example, in the TUBZ structure, the dividend is typically floored at some minimum level (e.g., 1.0% of par value). This option gives the investor a small measure of protection against deterioration in the company's dividends. (Jones 0235) At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the disclosure of Rudkin to include the teachings of Jones et al. to obtain invention as specified in claim 17. The rationale to combine the teachings protection against loss of dividends.

Rudkin does not explicitly disclose transferring the amended stock option to a third party; and receiving a value corresponding to the amended stock option in exchange for transferring the amended stock option. However Calvin discloses it is also well known that a business or company may establish a nonqualified stock option plan that awards certain investment advisors, service providers and other key personnel

10/666.979

Art Unit: 3693

(hereinafter "Service Providers") compensatory options in lieu of current compensation for the purpose of retaining such Service Providers through vesting and other restrictions on the subsequent transfer of awarded options. The Service Providers are incentivized to accept compensatory options in lieu of cash or similar property by the possibility that the awarded options will appreciate in value (sometimes significantly) beyond the current value of compensation that the Service Providers forego. (Calvin (8000

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the disclosure of Rudkin to include the teachings of Calvin to obtain invention as specified in claim 17. The rationale to combine the teachings would be for implementing a deferred compensation program.

- 30. Regarding claim 19, it recites similar language as to claim 17 and is rejected on the same grounds.
- Regarding claim 23, it recites similar language to claims 17 and 19 and is 31. rejected on the same grounds.
- 32. Regarding claim 24, it recites similar language as to claim 17 and 19 and is rejected on the same grounds.

10/666,979 Art Unit: 3693

33. Regarding **claim 25**, Rudkin discloses a programmed computer for transfer of employee stock options, comprising: a memory having at least one region for storing computer executable program code; and a processor for executing the program code stored in the memory (0158).

Rudkin discloses code for providing a plurality of option value prices (0052); means for determining a stock trading price corresponding to a particular one of the plurality of option value prices; code for receiving an employee stock option corresponding to the particular one of the plurality of option value prices. (0052)

Rudkin discloses code to provide a first value, a second value and an investment value to the employee, the first and second values corresponding to the particular one of the plurality of option value prices, the first value provided to the employee at a first time, the second value and the investment value provided to the employee at a second time. (0045)

Rudkin does not explicitly disclose code for amending the employee stock option to modify: terms of the maturity. However Muller discloses benchmark bonds are quoted as the underlying instrument of certain bond futures. When they approach maturity, they are replaced by another bond, but the quotes appear in the same, uninterrupted time series. The change of the maturity results in a value jump. (Muller 0451) At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the disclosure of Rudkin to include the teachings of Muller to obtain invention as specified in claim 25. The rationale to combine the teachings would be for filtering high frequency time series data.

10/666.979

Art Unit: 3693

Rudkin does not explicitly disclose code for amending the number of shares per option. However Shields discloses the administration system 6 may be updated with participant information, including adding new participants 10, modifying trading and vesting dates, revising the number of options and/or shares available for exercise and/or sale for each participant 10 in response to, for example, recently executed transactions, employer grant, expiration dates, or the like. (Shields 0068) At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the disclosure Rudkin to include the teachings of Shields to obtain invention as specified in claim 25. The rationale to combine the teachings would be for implementing employee stock plans.

Rudkin does not explicitly disclose code for amending the dilution protection. However Lancaster et al. discloses the idea underlying derivatives-based distribution is encapsulating the economic value of the distribution relationship in a derivative product, to provide dilution protection as control of the distribution chain is lost. (Lancaster 0124) At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the disclosure of Rudkin to include the teachings of Lancaster et al. to obtain invention as specified in claim 25. The rationale to combine the teaching would be to avoid dilution.

Rudkin does not explicitly disclose code amending the dividend protection. However Jones et al. discloses referring bow to other issuer options, it is noted that Zero-put securities may have other modifications. For example, in the TUBZ structure, the dividend is typically floored at some minimum level (e.g., 1.0% of par value). This

10/666.979

Art Unit: 3693

option gives the investor a small measure of protection against deterioration in the company's dividends. (Jones 0235) At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the disclosure of Rudkin to include the teachings of Jones et al. to obtain invention as specified in claim 25. The rationale to combine the teachings protection against loss of dividends.

Rudkin does not explicitly disclose code transferring the amended stock option to a third party; and receiving a value corresponding to the amended stock option in exchange for transferring the amended stock option. However Calvin discloses it is also well known that a business or company may establish a nonqualified stock option plan that awards certain investment advisors, service providers and other key personnel (hereinafter "Service Providers") compensatory options in lieu of current compensation for the purpose of retaining such Service Providers through vesting and other restrictions on the subsequent transfer of awarded options. The Service Providers are incentivized to accept compensatory options in lieu of cash or similar property by the possibility that the awarded options will appreciate in value (sometimes significantly) beyond the current value of compensation that the Service Providers forego. (Calvin (8000)

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the disclosure of Rudkin to include the teachings of Calvin to obtain invention as specified in claim 25. The rationale to combine the teachings would be for implementing a deferred compensation program.

10/666,979 Art Unit: 3693

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin Poe whose telephone number is 571-272-9789. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 9:30am - 6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Kramer can be reached on 571-272-6783. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

kp

JAMES A. KRAMER

UPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER